REMARKS

Claims 86-104 and 106-118 remain pending. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The objections to Claim 106 and Claims 116-118 are believed to be obviated by the amendment submitted above.

Claim 106 has been amended to delete the reference to strain I-2025.

Claim 116 has been amended to recite "one of the unconventional amino acids present in the culture medium of step (b) is represented by an amino acid of formula I having L configuration."

Step a) of Claim 108 is a selection process according to Claim 86 by which cells are selected for their ability to incorporate an unconventional amino acid, which restores the functionality of a protein essential to growth. The unconventional amino corresponds to the amino acid which is initially encoded by the target condon. The resulting cells are mutated in the protein translation machinery (ex: ARNt-synthetase genes, see also page 7, paragraph 1), more particularly in their editing domain.

In step b), the cells selected in step a) are further cultivated with unconventional amino acids, in order to produce proteins comprising one of these unconventional amino acids.

In the sense of the present invention, an unconventional amino acid is an amino acid other than the amino acid, which should be normally incorporated at a given site with regard to the translated nucleic acid sequence. See page 2, lines 31 to 39 of the present specification.

It is not mentioned that the unconventional amino acids used in steps a) and b) are necessarily the same. On the contrary, it is recited on page 10, lines 11 to 21 that the unconventional amino acids may have specific additional properties. In example 6, for

instance, the mutated cells missincorporating Valine in place of Cystein (obtained according to the process of Claim 86 - Example 3) are cultivated in a culture medium containing the non canonical amino acids L-aminobutyrate.

In fact, the unconventional amino acids used in Claims 116 to 118 are those referred to in step b) of Claim 108, and not the one encoded by the target condon in step a).

In order to make this point clear, it has been specified in Claims 108 and 116 that the unconventional amino, acid carried out in Claims 108 and 116, is actually the one present in the culture medium of step b).

In view of the foregoing, withdrawal of the objections is respectfully requested.

The rejection of Claims 86-118 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, is believed to be obviated by the amendment submitted above. Claim 86 has been amended to specify a bacterial or yeast cell, which the Examiner has indicated as allowable (see page 3 of the Official Action dated January 31, 2006). Accordingly, withdrawal of this ground of rejection is respectfully requested.

The rejection of Claims 103-105 and 107 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, is believed to be obviated by the amendment submitted above.

Concerning the matter of the reproducibility of the invention to any aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase, aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase genes are well known in the art, especially among bacterial and yeast species. Thus, it would not be an undue burden for one skilled in the art to adapt the teaching provided in the specification concerning valyl-tRNA synthetase (ValS) to other aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase genes.

Furthermore, as reported in the enclosed review of Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase (Delarue, M., 1995, *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 5:48-55), it is also well known that, despite the different topologies found in Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase, their active sites (editing domain) and mechanisms of action are similar (see in particular the abstract).

In view of the foregoing, withdrawal of this ground of rejection is respectfully

requested.

Applicants submit that the present application is in condition for allowance. Early

notice to this effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
Norman F. Oblon

James J. Kelly, Ph.D.
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 41,504

Customer Number
**22850**

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 06/04)

# Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases

## Marc Delarue

Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

Detailed mechanisms for each step of the reaction catalyzed by both class
I and class II aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases have been proposed on the basis
of crystallographic data of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases in complex with their
different substrates. Despite the very different topologies of the two classes,
there are striking and unanticipated chemical similarities between their active
sites and proposed mechanisms.

## Introduction

The aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) are a family of
enzymes that ensure the proper attachment of an amino
acid to its cognate tRNA, generating the pool of charged
tRNAs that are essential for mRNA translation into pro-
teins. AaRSs catalyse the charging of tRNAs in a two-
step reaction. First, ATP reacts with the amino acid to
form an aminoacyl-adenylate (and diphosphate). Second,
the activated amino acid is transferred to the tRNA to
form the aminoacyl-tRNA and AMP. Until 1989, only
three structures of aaRSs were known (brTyrRS [1,2],
ecMetRS [3], and the ecGlnRS–tRNAGln complex [4],
where bs and ec in superscript stand for *Bacillus sub-
tilis* and *Escherichia coli*, respectively). They all share a
structural domain called the Rossmann fold, originally
observed in dehydrogenases, which we now know to
be a characteristic of the so-called class I aaRS family,
which contains 10 members [5•]. A major breakthrough
in our understanding of aaRSs came from the structural
determination of SerRS [6] and AspRS [7]; both of these
enzymes lack the Rossmann fold and represent a second
type of aaRSs (class II). Simultaneously, sequence analysis
showed that all known aaRSs can be assigned to one or
the other of these two classes ([8]; Table 1).

Much progress has been made in the past year on
structural and functional studies of aaRSs. The new
structures of class I aaRSs include GlnRS–tRNAGln
in complex with ATP and Mg2+ [9••] and Tr-
pRS in complex with tryptophanyl-adenylate [10•].
New class II structures include: *Thermus thermophilus*
SerRS (ttSerRS) alone [11•], as a complex with
two analogues of the seryl-adenylate [12••] or with
tRNASer [13••]; scAspRS–tRNAAsp bound to ATP and
Mg2+ or scAspRS (where the sc in superscript stands
for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) in complex with an amino
acylated tRNA [14••]; scAspRS alone [15•] or with
aspartyl-adenylate [16••]. ecPheRS [17••], although at a

preliminary stage of refinement, provides another exam-
ple of a class II aaRS structure (Table 1).

In this review, I will first focus on the structural
details that have been recently observed in different
adenylate–aaRS complexes (one in each class); it is
likely that the enzymatic reaction mechanisms that have
been proposed [9••,14••] in these systems will hold for all
aaRSs. I will also emphasize the extraordinary similarity
of the active site of different enzymes and some aspects
of the amino acid binding pockets. The use of these data
to redesign amino acid specificity will be discussed.

In the second part of the article, I will briefly review
other biochemical and biophysical data, which continues
to accumulate rapidly, allowing interesting comparisons
with structural data and helping to fill the gap between
systems where structural information is available and sys-
tems where such information does not exist yet.

## Adenylate and related complexes: structural results

### Class II aaRSs

The recent completion of the refinement of the
scAspRS–tRNAAsp complex in the presence of ATP
[14••] allowed the visualization of a bent conforma-
tion for ATP, showing the leaving pyrophosphate group
pointing away from the α-phosphate–ribose line and sta-
bilized by at least one Mg2+ ion. Several crucial residues
were identified, all of which are strictly conserved in class
II aaRSs. Two acidic residues were found to bind a Mg2+
involved in the binding of the β- and γ-phosphates of
ATP. The counterparts of these residues are found in all
class II aaRSs (Fig. 1).

Soaking the scAspRS–tRNAAsp complex with ATP
and aspartic acid leads directly to formation of the

**ORIGINAL FAX - ORIGINAL FAX - ORIGINAL FAX - ORIGINAL FAX**

Table 1. Classification of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases.

| Class II | Quaternary structure | References | Class I | Quaternary structure | References |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Gly | (α2β2) | [26*] | | | |
| Ala | (α4) | | | | |
| Pro | (α2) | | | | |
| Ser | (α2) | [6,11*,12**,13**] | | | |
| Thr | (α2) | | | | |
| His | (α2) | [27*] | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Asp | (α2) | [7,14**,15*,16**] | Glu | (α) | |
| Asn | (α2) | | Gln | (α) | [4,9**] |
| Lys | (α2) | [28] | Arg | (α) | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Cys | (α2) | |
| | | | Met | (α2) | [5] |
| | | | Val | (α) | |
| | | | Ile | (α) | |
| | | | Leu | (α) | |
| | | | | | |
| Phe | (α2β2) | [17**] | Tyr | (α2) | [1,2] |
| | | | Trp | (α2) | [10**] |

Classification of all aaRSs on the basis of the presence of the two
signature sequences (HIGH and KMSKS; one letter amino acid code
used) for class I and the sequence motifs 1, 2 and 3 for class II. On
the structural level this corresponds to the presence of a Rossmann
fold parallel β-sheet for class I and an antiparallel β-sheet for class II
[8]. On the functional level, aminoacylation invariably occurs on the
2'OH of adenosine 76 of tRNA for class I aaRSs, and on the 3'OH for
class II aaRSs (except for PheRS, which is aminoacylated on the 2'OH;
in CysRS and TyrRS aminoacylation might occur on either of the OH
groups). The quaternary structure (in E. coli) of all these enzymes is also
shown; it is generally well conserved across species (PGlyRS, however,
is an α2 dimer). Subgroups corresponding to both sequence alignments
inside each class and physico-chemical properties of the amino acid
have been drawn.

aminoacylated tRNA in the crystal. The amino acid
binding site could be identified, with a positively
charged residue (Arg483) located at its base making
an ion pair with the acidic group of the substrate
side chain. A strictly conserved negatively charged
residue was found to be responsible for maintain-
ing the amino group of the amino acid in place
(Fig. 1).

To observe experimentally the aspartyl-adenylate inter-
mediate (first step of the reaction), it is necessary to
soak crystals of the enzyme alone; this was done with
"AspRS [16**], for which data for the structure of the
enzyme alone also exist [15*]. It appears that the amino
acid binding pocket is essentially rigid, with the essential
Arg483 held in place through a network of electrostatic
interactions with at least three other charged side chains.
Redesign of the amino acid binding pocket of AspRS
to make it accept lysine instead of aspartate would of
course involve the mutation of Arg483 into a negatively

charged amino acid (as is the case for LysRS, as shown
by sequence alignment). But this is not enough, as this
negative charge would then be in a very unfavourable
environment; in fact, the whole pocket has to be elec-
trostatically redesigned. This discussion is very close in
spirit to the arguments developed more quantitatively by
Hwang and Warshel [18], in the more general context
of protein engineering. It will be interesting to see in
the structure of LysRS how nature solved this problem
[19*].

The structures of SerRS in complex with two analogues
of seryl-adenylate [12**] show a marked similarity to
AspRS in the active site: if one superimposes the α-
carbon coordinates of the two enzymes and then ap-
plies this transformation to bring the aspartyl-adenylate
into the framework of SerRS, the α-phosphate lies at
exactly the same place as the one seen in the two ana-
logues of seryl-adenylate (Fig. 2). The adenine ring is
slightly shifted, but all the interactions described above
for AspRS (except those concerning side-chain recog-
nition) still hold for SerRS: in fact, the residues that are
class II invariant lie at the same position, even though the
backbone and the rest of the structure can vary (Fig. 2).
There is some discrepancy concerning the location of the
magnesium-binding site, but this could be explained by
the fact that different stages of the reaction are observed
and/or by the presence of more than one $Mg^{2+}$ ion.

The first step in the charging of a tRNA is the forma-
tion of an aminoacyl-adenylate: a simple mechanism
of in-line attack on the α-β phosphodiester bond of
the ATP by the carboxyl group of the amino acid
has been proposed [14**]. The transfer of this acti-
vated aminoacyl-adenylate species to the tRNA probably
proceeds through an attack by the 3'OH of the ribose
moiety of adenosine 76 of the tRNA, from which the
proton has been extracted by one of the free oxygens of
the α-phosphate of the adenylate. Such an attack, which
does not involve any proton attractor from the protein
itself, is not uncommon in enzymatic mechanisms: in-
deed, a similar mechanism has already been postulated
for EcoRV, aspartate carbamoyl transferase and, more
recently, the ras21 protein [20].

The tRNA-binding mode of SerRS has also been elu-
cidated in the past year [13**], providing detailed in-
formation on the structure of the tRNA itself (which
contains an unusual extra loop) and its interactions with
the two very long and flexible helices at the N-terminal
end of the protein. Unfortunately, the acceptor end of
the tRNA appears to be disordered in the crystal of the
complex, but its interaction with the synthetase is ex-
pected to be qualitatively similar (but different in detail)
to the one observed in the AspRS system [21**], as re-
vealed by a structural alignment between the α-carbon
coordinates of both SerRS and AspRS.

It will be interesting to see if the mechanism postulated
in [14**] can also be applied to PheRS, which amino-
acylates the 2'OH of tRNA (an exceptional feature for

50 Protein—nucleic acid interactions



Fig. 1. Binding of aminoacyl-adenylate by class II aaRSs. (a) The interactions involved in the binding of the aspartyl-adenylate in ᵃAspRS [16**], superimposed on the interactions involved in the binding of the inhibiting analog of the seryl-adenylate in ᵇSerRS [12**].The residues involved are boxed, with the residue from ᵃAspRS shown uppermost and that from ᵇSerRs shown below. The nature of their interaction with the aminoacyl-adenylate is shown: O(N) MC indicates hydrogen-bonding to the main chain oxygen (nitrogen); W (superscript) indicates that interaction is mediated by a water molecule. If implicated, the side chain of the amino acid is represented. The numbering in the two different proteins is also indicated (subscript) and corresponds to the alignment given below. (b) Alignment of AspRS and SerRS sequences. For simplicity, this alignment is restricted to the region of motifs 2 and 3 and to a newly identified conserved region, which, at least in AspRS, is implicated in Mg²⁺ binding: the issue of the presence of different ion binding site(s) in SerRS still has to be resolved. The residues indicated (in bold) have their side chains in the same spatial position in the two enzymes and are directly superimposable, even though some significant deviations occur in the rest of the backbone of the active site (e.g. region 384–386 in ᵇSerRS, probably due to the presence of a proline).

a class II aaRS); its three-dimensional structure determination is near completion [17**].

### Class I aaRSs

The recently solved structure of TrpRS in complex with tryptophanyl-adenylate [10**] reveals a pattern of interactions that closely matches that of TyrRS with its different substrates (tyrosyl-adenylate or tyrosine [1,2]). There is an almost perfect 1:1 functional correspondence between structurally equivalent residues in the two systems, even though the overall sequence identity between the two enzymes is very low (13% for 272 amino acids). The adenylate and amino acid binding pockets are clearly superimposable between the two structures. The tryptophan-binding pocket shows good steric complementarity with the substrate side chain, suggesting that it should be amenable to specificity modification using the normal principles of protein structure

formation, in this case, essentially by alteration of side-chain packing. Redesigning the amino acid specificity of TyrRS is, however, not a trivial matter [22].

The structure of the TrpRS enzyme also contains information that could lead to a plausible model of its interaction with its cognate tRNA that would be consistent with the one previously proposed for TyrRS [23], with the acceptor and anticodon arms of the same tRNA interacting with two different monomers. The anticodon end of the tRNA would be undistorted in both TyrRS and TrpRS, contrary to what is observed in the GlnRS complex [4]. It should be recalled, however, that the tRNA-binding mode of MetRS has been linked to the one observed with the GlnRS system, especially because of the presence of a so-called acceptor binding domain [24], which is absent in both TyrRS and TrpRS. Also, there is a sixth strand with a left-handed type of connection in both MetRS and GlnRS that is absent both in TyrRS and TrpRS, and this might be related to a differ-

Fig. 2. MolScript stereo representation of the aminoacyl adenylate binding sites of (a) AspRS and (b) SerRS, as described in [12••] and [16••], respectively. For clarity, Arg223 and Arg256 (ligands of the α-phosphate in AspRS and SerRS, respectively) have been omitted. The two structures have been superimposed by using the α-carbon coordinates only, transporting the adenylate with the derived transformation afterwards.

ent tRNA-binding mode (both GlnRS and MetRS can function as monomers, whereas TyrRS and TrpRS are both functional dimers).

Last year, Perona et al. [9••] used crystallographic data on the complex of GlnRS–tRNA$^{Gln}$ bound to Mn$^{2+}$ and ATP to infer the location of the amino acid binding pocket and to build a detailed enzymatic mechanism for the two-step reaction catalyzed by class I aaRSs. The striking conclusion of their work is that the second step is done in very much the same way as by the class II aaRSs, only in a different geometry: the extraction of a proton from the 2'OH of adenosine 76 of tRNA is also done by a free oxygen of the adenylate α-phosphate.

Perona et al. [9••] make a number of valuable comparisons between structural and functional results available for GlnRS, TyrRS or MetRS. A number of points that appear to be shared with class II aaRSs could also be highlighted; however, a word of caution is needed here. Even though the chemical nature of the amino acid side chains involved in the different interactions in both class I and class II aaRSs often appears to be comparable (see below), their positions are not strictly superimposable; indeed, if one superimposes the adenine rings, the aminoacylation reaction appears to take place on opposite sides [14••].

The first common point is that the way the adenine ring of ATP is recognized in GlnRS is highly reminiscent of what is seen in both SerRS and AspRS: the N1 and N6 atoms are bound to the amide nitrogen and the carbonyl oxygen of the main chain of the same residue, namely Leu261 in GlnRS (compare with Fig. 1). Also, the adenine ring rests upon the aliphatic portion of Arg260, in very much the same way as in class II aaRSs (Arg531 of "AspRS). Actually, Perona et al. [9••] point out that this might be functionally important: as the side chain of arginine is highly flexible, this might allow without difficulty a slight rearrangement of the adenine ring moiety during the different steps of the reaction.

Another interesting feature is that the adenine ring lies on Gly42, a strictly conserved residue of the first signature sequence of class I aaRSs; any other amino acid side chain would interfere with the binding of ATP. Other strictly conserved residues of either the first (His43) or the second (Lys270) signature sequence of class I aaRSs are implicated in the stabilization of the transition state of the reaction, which involves a pentavalently bonded phosphorus. This is to be related with earlier work using kinetic measurements by Fersht et al. (reviewed in [9••]). Lys270 can be compared to Arg223 of motif 2 in "AspRS in its role in binding the α-phosphate.

The α-amino group of the amino acid appears to be stabilized by an ion pair with an aspartate, which is conserved in all (except TyrRS) class I aaRSs, at the end of

β-strand C; again, this is comparable with what is seen for class II aaRSs.

The binding pocket for glutamine in GlnRS contains Arg30, Tyr211 and Gln255 at its base, the glutamine being ready to hydrogen bond to the substrate. Gln255 is held in place by a network of interactions within a polar environment involving the charged side chain of Glu257 and the main chain carbonyl atom of Phe233. This situation is highly reminiscent of the binding pockets of both AspRS [16••] and TyrRS [2,22]. All of this has been observed in the structure of GlnRS free of glutamine, thereby implying that the free enzyme can bind its substrate without any significant rearrangement (no induced fit). It is to be hoped that the general nature of all the observations mentioned above will be confirmed by the detailed structural studies on ecGluRS and ecMetRS (S Yokoyama, personal communication).

## AaRS–tRNA recognition: modules and nodules

One of the most useful concepts in analyzing aaRSs of unknown structure remains the concept of modularity, originally introduced for *Escherichia coli* AlaRS [25]. Apart from their catalytic domain, aaRSs often possess additional domains that help to make them more specific in the tRNA recognition process. Very often, these domains can be identified through sequence analysis [26•]. New sequences of aaRS genes in different organisms continue to appear, but are too numerous to be cited in this review.

Structural data on the different domains found in aaRSs have also appeared, and it is not inconceivable that we will soon have a complete picture of the repertoire used by this family of enzymes. The structure of ecAspRS [15•] revealed an additional domain, of unknown function, structurally similar to the histidine-containing phosphocarrier protein HPr. The structure of ecGluRS may reveal a different anticodon-binding domain, compared with GlnRS. The structures of ecGlyRS [27•] and ecHisRS [28•], now approaching complete refinement (D Moras, personal communication), will also provide the first picture of a new anticodon-binding domain that should also be present in ThrRS. It will be interesting to compare the forthcoming structure of ecLysRS [19•] with AspRS, especially their amino acid binding pockets. In addition, the role of the N-terminal extension of mammalian aaRSs is being actively studied [29].

How these domains interact with each other is still a daunting question. This question relates, of course, to the enzymes' specificity and, in some cases, allostery. Some progress has been made in the class II aaRS family through a careful structural analysis of the dimer interface [15•,30•] and through probing by site-directed mutagenesis [30•]. In the class I family, some intragenic suppressors that compensate for impaired aminoacylation in mutants of GlnRS have been isolated and struc-

turally mapped to a region linking the catalytic and the anticodon-binding domains [31•].

The structure of the zinc-finger domain of MetRS has also been solved recently by NMR methods [32•]. This is especially important because zinc-finger domains have been identified in many different class I aaRSs by sequence analysis.

Meanwhile, experiments designed to probe tRNA–aaRS interactions and to define the tRNA identity [33•] are producing interesting new results. In some cases, it proved possible to change the specificity of the recognition [34]. The structure of the tRNA itself and its role in aaRS recognition continues to be actively studied [35–37]. The role of post-transcriptional modifications of tRNA in the recognition process has also been recently re-emphasized [38]. The part of the protein responsible for recognition can in some cases be pinned down to a few residues [39] and the switch to another specificity can involve as little as one methyl group [38], hence the notion of a nodule.

AaRSs may represent a unique system, as far as protein engineering is concerned, because the structure of three different members of this family are (or are about to be) known in both *E. coli* and *T. thermophilus*, namely MetRS, SerRS and AspRS; the *E. coli* AspRS has also recently been solved (D Moras, personal communication). Thus, the study of aaRSs may represent one of the best opportunities to understand the molecular basis for thermophilicity. An interesting experimental approach has been undertaken with TyrRS [40], whose structure is known in the thermophilic organism *B. stearothermophilus*. Chimeric versions of TyrRS were constructed using portions of sequence from the *E. coli* and *B. stearothermophilus* enzymes, and the thermal stability of the resulting enzymes measured.

## Other biophysical and biochemical studies

Although the amount of information given by these structural results is impressive, more data are still needed to refine our understanding of how enzymes of this family function. As usual, sequence data complement refined structures well because sequence conservation profiles help to identify functionally important residues [26•]. Information from the multiple alignment of AlaRS sequences, secondary structure predictions and site-directed mutagenesis experiments has been used to identify the position of motif 2 in AlaRS [41,42].

To check hypotheses about the reaction mechanism suggested by crystal structures (which only give snapshots of some intermediate states of the reaction), it is often instructive to generate single point mutants and measure their functional and kinetic properties [43–45,46•]. Chemical affinity labeling followed by microsequencing of the labelled peptides [47] has also proved useful in identifying residues in contact with the active site, as have

Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases Delarue 53

other biochemical and biophysical measurements such as fluorescence studies [48].

One unresolved issue that is receiving more attention is the mechanism of proofreading. It has been known for a long time that in some instances misactivation can occur; however, upon tRNA binding, hydrolysis of the misactivated amino acid takes place, so preventing the tRNA from being charged with the wrong amino acid. Site-directed mutagenesis in the inferred amino acid binding pocket of MetRS [49] has been used to study this issue, but a structural picture of the 'hydrolysis pathway' for a misactivated amino acid remains elusive. For ValRS [50•], a mutant has been engineered with decreased ability to distinguish between the right (valine) and the wrong (isoleucine) amino acid. In any case, systematic measurements of all the kinetic and binding properties of an aaRS amino-acylating (and charging) all possible amino acids are certainly welcome [51,52•] and should be made in the systems where detailed structural information is already available. This work in turn should prove useful in calibrating molecular dynamics simulation methods applied to these systems [53].

## Conclusion

The past year has seen the elucidation of the structures of several aaRSs (from both class II and class I) with their different substrates. Striking and unanticipated structural and functional similarities have been revealed, opening the way for the rational design of mutants and of aaRSs with changed specificity. This will probably demand more sophisticated molecular modelling methods than the already existing ones. In addition, structural data on the same enzyme from both bacteria and eukaryotes (as is available for AspRS) may prove useful for the design of inhibitors specific for prokaryotes. In this respect, an interesting experiment in bacteria has recently been done [54•]. Expression of an intentionally inactivated tRNA synthetase, proved effective (and lethal) upon introduction of the gene encoding it into the genome of E. coli; this type of effect had actually already been observed for TyrRS [55].

Data on a peculiar (and puzzling) feature of tRNA-synthetases, namely the antigenic nature that they sometimes show, continue to accumulate. ValRS, a class I aaRS, has recently been identified as one of the major antigenic determinants of Plasmodium vivax (V Snewin, personal communication). IleRS has also been implicated in several autoimmune diseases, for instance in the rheumatic disease myositis [56]. Antibodies against class II aaRSs have been found as well (e.g. HisRS, GlyRS, AlaRS and ThrRS in myositis and AsnRS in filariosis [8]). Therefore, our knowledge of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases may eventually have medical applications.

## Note added in proof

It has recently been found that the class II aaRS catalytic domain fold is present in BirA, a biotin-protein ligase that attaches biotin to various key metabolic proteins involved in carboxylation and decarboxylation [57•]. The biotination reaction proceeds through the same type of intermediate as found in aaRSs, namely an acyl-adenylate. It is to be expected that the same type of fold will be observed in yet other proteins involved in metabolism, for instance acetyl CoA ligases.

## Acknowledgements

## References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:
* of special interest
** of outstanding interest

1. Brick P, Blow DM: Crystal structure of a deletion mutant of tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase complexed with tyrosine. J Mol Biol 1987, 194:287–297.

2. Brick P, Bhat TN, Blow DM: Structure of tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase refined at 2.3 Å resolution: interaction of the enzyme with the tyrosyl adenylate. J Mol Biol 1988, 208:83–98.

3. Brunie S, Zelwer C, Risler JL: Crystallographic studies at 2.5 Å resolution of the interaction of methionyl-tRNA synthetase of E. coli with ATP. J Mol Biol 1990, 216:411–424.

4. Rould MA, Perona JJ, Soll D, Steitz TA: Crystal structure of the E. coli glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase-tRNAGln complex. Science 1989, 246:1135–1142.

5. Carter CW Jr: Cognition, mechanism, and evolutionary relationship in aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. Annu Rev Biochem 1993, 62:715–746.
* A recent review on structural aspects of aaRSs, with a number of illustrations and detailed figures, especially on class I aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases.

6. Cusack S, Berthet-Colominas C, Hartlein M, Nassar, Leberman R: A second class of synthetase structure revealed by X-ray analysis of E. coli structure of seryl-tRNA synthetase at 2.5 Å resolution. Nature 1990, 347:249–255.

7. Ruff M, Krishnaswamy S, Boeglin M, Poterszman A, Mitschler A, Podjarny AD, Rees B, Thierry JC, Moras D: Class II aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase: crystal structure of yeast aspartyl-tRNA synthetase complexed with tRNAAsp. Science 1991, 252:1682–1689.

8. Eriani G, Delarue M, Poch O, Gangloff J, Moras D: Partition of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases in two classes on the basis of mutually exclusive sets of sequence motifs. Nature 1990, 347:203–206.

9. Perona JJ, Rould MA, Steitz TA: Structural basis for tRNA
** aminoacylation by E. coli glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase. Biochemistry 1993, 32:8758–8771.
This mammoth paper is likely to be the reference on detailed mechanistic and structural studies of class I aaRSs for quite some time. Apart from

**54    Protein—nucleic acid interactions**

new structural results on the GlnRS–tRNAGln in complex with various substrates. It contains a brilliant synthesis of all previously known structures and kinetic measurements of class I aaRSs and related complexes. It can now be updated with [10**]; many of the ideas developed here may find a counterpart in class II aaRSs (see [14**]).

10. Doublié S, Bricogne G, Gilmore C, Carter CW Jr: Tryptophanyl-
•• tRNA synthetase crystal structure reveals an unexpected
homology to tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase. *Structure* 1995, 3:17–32.
Description of the structure of another class I aaRS, TrpRS, as a complex with tryptophanyl-adenylate. The paper also describes in detail how this complex compares with the TyrRS results in [2]. In addition, it contains an interesting discussion of a new approach in crystallographic structure resolution, based on a maximum likelihood criterion, to find the phases of strong reflections and correct the envelope in the initial stage of model building.

11. Fujinaga M, Berthet-Colominas C, Yaremchuk AD, Tukalo M,
• Cusack S: Refined crystal structure of seryl-tRNA synthetase
from *Th. thermophilus* at 2.5 Å resolution. *J Mol Biol* 1993,
234:257–280.
This paper contains an interesting discussion concerning the possible molecular basis of the thermophilicity of this enzyme. It also emphasizes the flexibility of the two long α-helices in the N-terminal part of the sequence.

12. Belrhali H, Yaremchuk A, Tukalo M, Larsen K, Berthet-Colomi-
•• nas C, Leberman R, Beijer B, Als-Nielsen J, Crubel G, Legrand
JP *et al.*: Crystal structure at 2.5 Å resolution of seryl-tRNA
synthetase complexed with two analogs of seryl adenylate.
*Science* 1994, 263:1432–1436.
The fact that the two non-hydrolyzable analogs bind at the same place in the enzyme provides strong support for the hypothesis that they actually reflect the binding of native seryl-adenylate. The network of interactions between the protein and the substrates is carefully analyzed and described. The authors also cite recent data at 2.4 Å resolution on a complex between the protein and ATP and Mn2+, which shows the ATP in a bent conformation, as observed in [14**].

13. Biou V, Yaremchuk A, Tukalo M, Cusack S: The 2.9 Å crys-
•• tal structure of *Thermus thermophilus* seryl-tRNA synthetase
complexed with tRNASer. *Science* 1994, 263:1404–1410.
This paper gives structural details of the interactions between SerRS and its cognate tRNA, showing that it is the special feature of the tRNA, namely the extra long loop, and not the anticodon, that is recognized by the protein. Unfortunately, the CCA end appears to be disordered in the crystal. Data have also been collected in the presence of the ATP analog AMPPCP, which, contrary to the ATP, is in an extended conformation; this is in accordance with results also described in [14**].

14. Cavarelli J, Eriani G, Rees B, Ruff M, Boeglin M, Mitschler
•• A, Martin F, Gangloff J, Thierry JC, Moras D: The active
site of yeast aspartyl-tRNA synthetase: structural and func-
tional aspects of the aminoacylation reaction. *EMBO J* 1993,
13:327–337.
Class II counterpart of [9**]. It describes for the first time the binding of ATP to a class II aaRS, as well as a complex of a class II aaRS with an aminoacylated cognate tRNA; all class II invariant residues implicated in the active site are identified and a mechanism is proposed. Several mutants have been constructed and their functional properties measured to check this mechanism. These results are to be supplemented by the experimental determination of aspartyl-adenylate bound to °AspRS described in [16**]. See also [12**,13**].

15. Delarue M, Poterszman A, Nikonov S, Garber M, Moras
• D, Thierry JC: Crystal structure of a prokaryotic aspartyl
tRNA-synthetase. *EMBO J* 1994, 14:3219–3229.
Description of the structure of °AspRS; an additional domain is present, as compared to the yeast enzyme, which presents the same topology as protein HPr (of the *E. coli* phosphotransferase sugar transport system) thereby making this structure a textbook example of the modularity of aaRSs. A detailed description of the dimer interface is also included, as well as a proposal of a structural communication pathway between the catalytic and the anticodon-binding domains. See also [16**].

16. Poterszman A, Delarue M, Thierry JC, Moras D: Synthesis
•• and recognition of aspartyl-adenylate by *Thermus thermophilus*
aspartyl-tRNA synthetase. *J Mol Biol* 1994, 244:158–167.
First structure of a class II aaRS with the cognate (native) adenylate, which in a sense represents the situation after the first step of the reaction has been completed. A comparison with the structure of the enzyme alone

(see [15°]) is also given, showing the rigid nature of the amino acid bind-ing pocket.

17. Mosyak L, Safro M: Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase from *T. ther-
•• mophilus* has four antiparallel folds of which only two are
catalytically active. *Biochimie* 1993, 75:1091–1098.
This paper describes the preliminary chain tracing of *T*PheRS; the un-expected result is that the β-subunit (the largest one) also contains the typical fold of class II aaRSs, i.e. an antiparallel β-sheet, even though sequence analysis could not locate the three motifs characteristic of this class. It turns out that this subunit is probably not functional, but has evolved from the same ancestor as that of all class II aaRSs. The authors also propose a convincing location in the α-subunit for motif 1, which had been missed by earlier studies. The α-subunit also contains the fold typical of class II aaRSs. The dimerization mode between the α- and β-subunit is similar to the α2 dimerization interface observed in both AspRS and SerRS.

18. Hwang JK, Warshel A: Why ion pair reversal by protein en-
gineering is unlikely to succeed. *Nature* 1988, 334:270–272.

19. Onesti S, Theoclitou ME, Witzung PL, Miller AD, Plateau P,
• Blanquet S, Brick P: Crystallization and preliminary diffrac-
tion studies of *E. coli* lysyl-tRNA synthetase. *J Mol Biol* 1994,
243:123–125.
Crystals diffracting to 2.1 Å resolution have been obtained for *E. coli* LysU gene product. The structure of the protein is to appear soon.

20. Schweins T, Langen R, Warshel A: Why have mutagenesis stud-
ies not located the general base of ras p21? *Nature Struct Biol*
1994, 1:476–484.

21. Cavarelli J, Rees B, Ruff M, Thierry JC, Moras D: Yeast tRNAAsp
•• recognition by its cognate class II aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase.
*Nature* 1993, 362:181–184.
The refined structure at 2.9 Å resolution of this tRNA–aaRS complex al-lows a more detailed description of the interactions between the tRNA and the protein. The results are analyzed in light of other biochemical experiments. The generalization of the results to other class II aaRSs is discussed (see also [13**]).

22. De Prat Gay G, Duckworth HW, Fersht AR: Modification of the
amino acid specificity of tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase by protein
engineering. *FEBS Lett* 1993, 318:167–171.

23. Bedouelle H, Guez-Ivanier V, Nageotte R: Discrimination be-
tween tRNAs by tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase. *Biochimie* 1993,
75:1099–1108.

24. Perona JJ, Rould MA, Steitz TA, Risler JL, Zelwer C, Brunie
S: Structural similarities in glutaminyl- and methionyl-tRNA
synthetases suggests a common overall orientation of tRNA
binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1991, 88:2903–2907.

25. Jasin M, Regan L, Schimmel P: Modular arrangement of func-
tional domains along the sequence of alanyl-tRNA synthetase.
*Nature* 1983, 306:441–447.

26. Delarue M, Moras D: The aminoacyl-tRNA family: modules at
• work. *BioEssays* 1993, 15:675–687.
A recent review on aaRSs, with special emphasis on the modularity and interplay between structural results and multiple sequence alignments.

27. Logan DT, Cura V, Touzel JP, Kern D, Moras D: Crystallization
• of the glycyl-tRNA synthetase from *T. thermophilus* and initial
crystallographic data. *J Mol Biol* 1994, 241:732–735.
Native and derivative data on two crystal forms have been obtained. An interpretable map has been produced.

28. Francklyn C, Harris D, Moras D: Crystallization of histidyl-
• tRNA synthetase from *E. coli*. *J Mol Biol* 1994, 241:275–277.
Crystals in two forms have been obtained in the presence of histidine and ATP. The refined structure should be available soon.

29. Kovaleva G, Nikitushnika T, Kisselev L: Nucleoside triphos-
phatase activity associated with the N-terminal domain of
tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase. *FEBS Lett* 1993, 335:198–202.

30. Eriani G, Cavarelli J, Martin F, Dirheimer G, Moras D, Gangloff
• J: Role of dimerization in yeast aspartyl-tRNA synthetase and
importance of the class II invariant proline. *Proc Natl Acad
Sci USA* 1993, 90:10816–10820.
The role of the class II invariant Pro273 in motif 1 was investigated by site-directed mutagenesis and analyzed in light of the structure of the *Sc*AspRS–tRNAAsp complex.

31. Rogers MJ, Adachi T, Inokuchi H, Soll D: Functional communi-
    cation in the recognition of tRNA by E. coli glutaminyl-tRNA
    synthetase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994, 91:291-295.
Isolation of mutants generated by site-directed mutagenesis followed by
genetic selection identified two positions that interact with the inside of
the L-shaped tRNA and with each other. This provides experimental evi-
dence for a functional connection between the acceptor end binding and
the anticodon-binding domains of GlnRS.

32. Fourmy D, Dardel F, Blanquet S: Methionyl-tRNA synthetase
    zinc binding domain: three-dimensional structure and homol-
    ogy with rubredoxin and gag retroviral proteins. J Mol Biol
    1993, 231:1078-1089.
There is some discrepancy between this NMR-derived structure of the
zinc-binding domain of E. coli methionyl-tRNA synthetase and the pub-
lished crystal structure [3]. The crystal structure of the Th. thermophilus
enzyme should soon resolve this issue.

33. McClain WHM: Rules that govern tRNA identity in protein
    synthesis. J Mol Biol 1993, 234:257-280.
An up-to-date review of tRNA identity in different systems by one of the
leaders in the field.

34. Frugier M, Soll D, Giege R, Florentz C: Identity switches be-
    tween tRNAs aminoacylated by class I glutaminyl- and class II
    aspartyl-tRNA synthetases. Biochemistry 1994, 33:9912-9921.

35. Limmer S, Hofmann HP, Ott G, Sprinzl M: The 3'-terminal
    end (NCCA) of tRNA determines the structure and stability of
    the aminoacyl acceptor stem. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993,
    90:6199-6202.

36. Steinberg SV, Kisselev LL: Mosaic tile model for tRNA-enzyme
    recognition. Nucleic Acids Res 1993, 21:1941-1947.

37. Hou YM, Westhof E, Giege R: An unusual RNA tertiary inter-
    action has a role for the specific aminoacylation of a transfer
    RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993, 90:6776-6780.

38. Putz J, Florentz C, Benseler F, Giege R: A single methyl group
    prevents the mischarging of a tRNA. Nature Struct Biol 1994,
    1:580-582.

39. Schmitt E, Meinnel T, Panvert M, Mechulam Y, Blanquet S:
    Two acidic residues of E. coli methionyl-tRNA synthetase act
    as negative determinants towards the binding of non-cognate
    tRNA anticodons. J Mol Biol 1993, 233:615-628.

40. Guez-Ivanier V, Hermann M, Baldwin D, Bedouelle H: Mapping
    the stability determinants of bacterial tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase
    by an experimental evolutionary approach. J Mol Biol 1993,
    234:209-221.

41. Davis MW, Buechter DD, Schimmel P: Functional dissection of
    a predicted class-defining motif in a Class II tRNA synthetase
    of unknown structure. Biochemistry 1994, 33:9904-9911.

42. Ribas De Pouplana, Buechter DD, Davis MW, Schimmel P:
    Idiographic representation of conserved domain of a class
    II tRNA synthetase of unknown structure. Protein Sci 1993,
    2:2259-2262.

43. First EA, Fersht AR: Involvement of Thr234 in catalysis of tyro-
    syl adenylate formation by tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase. Biochem-
    istry 1993, 32:13644-13650.

44. First EA, Fersht AR: Mutation of Lys233 to Ala introduces pos-
    itive cooperativity into tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase. Biochemistry
    1993, 32:13651-13657.

45. First EA, Fersht AR: Mutational and kinetic analysis of a mobile
    loop in tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase. Biochemistry 1993, 32:13658-
    13663.

46. Avis JM, Fersht AR: Use of binding energy in catalysis: opti-
    mization of rate in a multistep reaction. Biochemistry 1993,
    32:5321-5326.
A careful study of the kinetic steps of aminoacylation using kinetic meas-
urements in mutants of TyrRS and linear free energy relationships.

47. Kalogerakos T, Hountondji C, Berne PF, Dutka S, Blanquet S:
    Modification of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases with pyridoxal-5'-
    phosphate. Identification of the labeled amino acid residues.
    Biochimie 1994, 76:33-44.

48. Bhattacharyya T, Roy S: Fluorescence spectroscopic study of
    substrate induced configuration change in glutaminyl-tRNA
    synthetase. Biochemistry 1993, 32:9266-9273.

49. Kim HY, Ghosh G, Schulman LH, Brunie S, Jakubowski H:
    The relationship between synthetic and editing functions in
    the active site of an aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase. Proc Natl
    Acad Sci USA 1993, 90:11553-11557.

50. Schmidt E, Schimmel P: Mutational isolation of a sieve for edit-
    ing in a transfer RNA synthetase. Science 1994, 264:265-267.
A mutant of isoleucine-tRNA synthetase was constructed that lacks
its normal ability to distinguish valine from isoleucine. However, the
kcat/Km values are considerably reduced both for valine and isoleucine
incorporation. The mutant still possesses the property of hydrolyzing the
misactivated amino acid upon tRNA binding. The authors interpret their
data as an indication that there is a distinctive sieve for editing that is
functionally independent from the amino acid binding site.

51. Freist W, Sternbach H, Cramer F: Lysyl-tRNA synthetase from
    yeast: discrimination of amino acids by native and phospho-
    rylated species. Eur J Biochem 1992, 204:1015-1023.

52. Freist W, Sternbach H, Cramer F: Threonyl-tRNA synthetase
    from yeast: discrimination of 19 amino acids in aminoacylation
    of tRNAThr. Eur J Biochem 1994, 220:745-752.
Quantification of the binding characteristics of all possible amino acids
to threonyl-tRNA synthetase.

53. Lau FTK, Karplus M: Molecular recognition in proteins: simula-
    tion analysis of substrate binding by a tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase
    mutant. J Mol Biol 1994, 236:1049-1066.

54. Schmidt E, Schimmel P: Dominant lethality by expression of a
    catalytically inactive class I tRNA synthetase. Proc Natl Acad
    Sci USA 1993, 90:6919-6923.
Alignment-guided mutagenesis was used to generate a toxic mutant of
isoleucine-tRNA synthetase in E. coli. Another mutation (double mutant)
that was also constructed proved not to be lethal.

55. Vidal-Cros A, Bedouelle H: Role of residue Glu152 in the dis-
    crimination between transfer RNAs by tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase
    from B. stearothermophilus. J Mol Biol 1992, 223:801-810.

56. Targoff IN: Autoantibodies to aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases for
    isoleucine and glycine: two additional synthetases are antigenic
    in myositis. J Immunol 1990, 144:1737-1743.

57. Artymiuk PJ, Rice DW, Poirrette AR, Willet P: A tale of two
    synthetases. Nature Struct Biol 1994, 1:758-760.
This article shows that birA, a biotin-protein ligase, and class II amino
acid tRNA synthetases are structurally homologous in their catalytic do-
mains.

M Delarue, Unité d'Immunologie Structurale, Institut Pasteur, 25
rue Dr Roux, 75015 Paris, France.